Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,042	DIVAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HUYEN X. VO	2626	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>HUYEN X. VO</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Christopher Lutz.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.			
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1.10,13.21 and 26</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h h h h h			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>method claims need to be "fied to" a machine; combine claim 34 with independent claims</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7304.) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summery of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.			
/Huyen X Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626			

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)